

Performance assessment of Iranian electricity distribution companies by an integrated cooperative game data envelopment analysis principal component analysis approach

Author links open overlay panel [H. Omrani](#)^a, R. Gharizadeh Beiragh^a, S. Shafiei Kaleibari^b

Show more

Add to Mendeley

Share

Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.07.045> Get rights and content

Highlights

• •

We combine [bargaining game](#), [PCA](#) and [DEA](#) model to obtain the efficiency scores.

• •

The integrated approach is applied to [electricity distribution](#) companies in Iran.

• •

[PCA](#) is combined with [DEA](#) to reduce the number of variables.

• •

The [bargaining game](#) is combined with PCA–DEA to obtain more realistic results.

Abstract

This paper introduces an integrated approach to evaluate efficiency of [electricity distribution](#) companies. It combines bargaining game theory, principal component analysis (PCA) and data envelopment analysis (DEA) to obtain more realistic results with higher resolution power. In real world case studies, classical [DEA](#) models often identify too many decision making units (DMUs) as efficient. It also occurs when the number of DMUs under evaluation are not large enough compared with the total number of inputs and outputs. To overcome this problem and reduce the number of the variables, [PCA](#) technique is combined with the conventional [DEA](#) model. Then, the bargaining game model is combined with the PCA–DEA model in order to discriminate among the DMUs. To illustrate the performance of the proposed approach, thirty-seven Iranian electricity distribution companies are evaluated. The results indicate the abilities of the proposed approach to evaluate the DMUS in a competitive environment.

Introduction

In real world, it is often necessary to rank a group of peer decision making units (DMUs) which produce multiple outputs by consuming multiple inputs such as schools, hospitals, banks, enterprises, regions, electricity companies and so on (Bian and Li [8]). Performance measurement of electricity distribution companies is considered as one of the most important issues among regulators. In fact, regulators compare the electricity distribution companies and adjust different factors such as price and revenue in the incentive-based schemes. The incentive based schemes are designed to provide a measurement scheme to compensate the efficient companies versus inefficient ones. There are several methods for efficiency estimating of DMUs such as DEA, PCA and Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA). DEA is one of the most important and common models for efficiency estimation and ranking of the DMUs. DEA was proposed by Charnes et al. [12] and extended by Banker et al. [5].

Suppose there are n DMUs where each DMU_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) produce s outputs y_{rj} ($r = 1, \dots, s$) by utilizing m inputs x_{ij} ($i = 1, \dots, m$). DEA uses the following measure to evaluate of DMU_o 's performance: Unfortunately, the power of the conventional DEA model in the presence of the large number of the inputs and outputs is weak. In the other words, if the number of DMUs increases in the model (1), DEA introduces most of the DMUs as efficient units. In this paper, to overcome the mentioned weakness of the DEA, PCA and game theory approaches are combined with the DEA to get more realistic results. First, PCA model is combined with the DEA to reduce the number of the variables. Then, the approach based on the DEA and bargaining game model is used to compare the DMUs in the competitive environment. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: 'Literature review' provides a literature on electricity distribution companies, proposed approaches and methods used in this article. 'Methodology' introduces the methodology of the proposed model. In 'Case study', the case study is presented. The results and analyses of the case study are provided in 'Results and discussion'. Finally, the conclusion is summarized in 'Conclusion'.

Section snippets

Literature review

As mentioned before, performance evaluation of the electricity distribution companies is one of the most important issues for the researchers and regulators, especially in the last years. Sadjadi et al. [45] have evaluated Iranian electricity distribution companies by using an interactive robust data envelopment analysis (IRDEA) model. They have proposed the novel model to determine the input and output target values of electricity distribution companies with considering the existence

PCA model

According to the Zhu [57], Premachandra [40] and Liang et al. [32], PCA is applied to evaluate and rank the performance of n DMUs ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with m inputs ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and s outputs ($r = 1, 2, \dots, s$) which the ratio between every output and every input is as follows: Obviously, the bigger the θ_{rj} , the better the performance of DMU_j in terms of the r th output and the r th inputs. Now let θ_{rj} , e.g., $k = 1$ corresponds to $i = 1, r = 1$ and $k = 2$ corresponds to $i = 1, r = 2$, etc.,

Case study

In order to have a better understanding of the performance of the proposed model, the proposed method is implemented by using some actual data of year 2010 for Iranian electricity distribution companies. There are some studies for efficiency estimating of electricity distribution companies. Goto and Tsutsui [23] used DEA model to measure overall cost efficiency and technical efficiency between Japanese and US electricity utilities. They showed that Japanese utilities were more efficient than US

Results and discussion

In order to demonstrate the performance of the proposed PCA–DEA–Bargaining game model, the dataset of 37 Iranian electricity distribution companies are used and an efficiency measures and ranks are calculated for each company in 2010. The results of the standard input-oriented DEA model are shown in the third column of Table 3. As shown, 31 companies are efficient and lay on frontier. Other companies are inefficient and need to improve their performance. Obviously, the efficiency scores from

Conclusion

In this paper, an approach based on the PCA, DEA and game theory introduced and applied for the Iranian electricity distribution companies in order to measure their relative performances in the competitive environment. 37 electricity distribution companies with six inputs and eight outputs considered for the purpose of this study. All of these inputs and outputs are important for the decision makers and top managers of the companies. But, because of the large number of the inputs and outputs,

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their constructive comments and suggestions on the earlier version of this paper.

References (58)

- N. Adler *et al.*

[Improving discrimination in data envelopment analysis: PCA DEA or variable reduction](#)

Eur J Oper Res

(2010)

- R.F. Aghdam

[Dynamics of productivity change in the Australian electricity industry: assessing the impacts of electricity reform](#)

Energy Policy

(2011)

- A. Azadeh *et al.*

[A deterministic approach for performance assessment and optimization of power distribution units in Iran](#)

Energy Policy

(2009)

- A. Azadeh *et al.*

[An integrated DEA–COLS–SFA algorithm for optimization and policy making of electricity distribution units](#)

Energy Policy

(2009)

- J. Barros

[Performance measurement of thermoelectric generating plants with undesirable outputs and random parameters](#)

Int J Electr Power Energy Syst

(2013)

- E. Bas

[The integrated framework for analysis of electricity supply chain using an integrated SWOT-fuzzy TOPSIS methodology combined with AHP: the case of Turkey](#)

Int J Electr Power Energy Syst

(2013)

- Y. Bian *et al.*

[Ranking decision making units with large set of highly correlated performance indicators: a method based on Gram–Schmidt process](#)

Expert Syst Appl

(2011)

- Y. Bian

[A Gram–Schmidt process based approach for improving DEA discrimination in the presence of large dimensionality of data set](#)

Expert Syst Appl

(2012)

- A. Charnes *et al.*

[Measuring the efficiency of decision making units](#)

Eur J Oper Res

(1978)

- J. Du *et al.*

[A bargaining game model for measuring performance of two-stage network structures](#)

Eur J Oper Res

(2011)

- J.H. Dula

[A computational study of DEA with massive data sets](#)

Comput Oper Res

(2008)

- D.F. Edvardsen *et al.*

[International benchmarking of electricity distribution utilities](#)

Resour Energy Econ

(2003)

- A. Emrouznejad *et al.*

[A combined neural network and DEA for measuring efficiency of large scale datasets](#)

Comput Ind Eng

(2009)

- A. Estache *et al.*

[How efficient are African electricity companies? Evidence from the Southern African countries](#)

Energy Policy

(2008)

- M. Filippini *et al.*

[Efficiency and regulation of the Slovenian electricity distribution companies](#)

Energy Policy

(2004)

- F.R. Forsund *et al.*

[Productivity development of Norwegian electricity distribution utilities](#)

Resour Energy Econ

(1998)

- D. Giannakis *et al.*

[Benchmarking and incentive regulation of quality of service: an application to the UK electricity distribution networks](#)

Energy Policy

(2005)

- M. Goto *et al.*

[Comparison of productive and cost efficiencies among Japanese and US electric utilities](#)

Omega Int J Manage Sci

(1998)

- B. Hess *et al.*

[Efficiency analysis of East and West German electricity distribution companies -Do the "Ossis" really beat the "Wessis"?](#)

Utilities Policy

(2007)

- T. Jamasb *et al.*

[Estimating the marginal cost of quality improvements: the case of the UK electricity distribution companies](#)

Energy Econo

(2012)

- M. Khodabakhshi

[An output oriented super-efficiency measure in stochastic data envelopment analysis: considering Iranian electricity distribution companies](#)

Comput Ind Eng

(2010)

- D. Langary *et al.*

[Direct approach in computing robust Nash strategies for generating companies in electricity markets](#)

Int J Electr Power Energy Syst

(2014)

- X.-B. Li *et al.*

[A multiple criteria approach to data envelopment analysis](#)

Eur J Oper Res

(1999)

- L. Liang *et al.*

[Increasing the discriminatory power of DEA in the presence of the undesirable outputs and large dimensionality of data sets with PCA](#)

Expert Syst Appl

(2009)

- S. Lozano

[sharing in DEA: a cooperative game theory approach](#)

Eur J Oper Res

(2012)

- M. Nakano *et al.*

[Regulatory reforms and productivity: an empirical analysis of the Japanese electricity industry](#)

Energy Policy

(2008)

- D.E. Nordgard

[A framework for risk-informed decision support in electricity distribution companies utilizing input from quantitative risk assessment](#)

Int J Electr Power Energy Syst

(2012)

- I.M. Premachandra

[A note on DEA vs principal component analysis: an improvement to Joe Zhu's approach](#)

Eur J Oper Res

(2001)

- M. Resende

[Relative efficiency measurement and prospects for yardstick competition in Brazilian electricity distribution](#)

Energy Policy

(2002)

There are more references available in the full text version of this article.

Cited by (53)

- [Performance evaluation of complex electricity generation systems: A dynamic network-based data envelopment analysis approach](#)

2020, Energy Economics

Citation Excerpt :

The efficiency of the distribution subsystem is higher than in two other subsystems, which may be due to the privatization of these subsystems resulting in more competition among the companies. Also, these results are in line with (Omrani et al., 2015; Sadjadi et al., 2011) (Fig. 9). While our findings of the electricity generation subsystems are opposite to (Jahangoshai Rezaee et al., 2012), which is probably due considering the thermal power plants only in (Jahangoshai Rezaee et al., 2012) while all types of electricity generation sources were considered here.

Show abstract

- [A literature study for DEA applied to energy and environment](#)

2017, Energy Economics

Show abstract

- [Non-cooperative game-theoretic model of demand response aggregator competition for selling stored energy in storage devices](#)

2017, Applied Energy

Show abstract

- [Integrated data envelopment analysis and cooperative game for evaluating energy efficiency of transportation sector: a case of Iran](#)

2019, Annals of Operations Research

- [Environmental assessment on energy and sustainability by data envelopment analysis](#)

2017, Environmental Assessment on Energy and Sustainability by Data Envelopment Analysis

- [Assessing the efficiency of public universities through DEA. A case study](#)

2017, Sustainability Switzerland